To: Gray, David[gray.david@epa.gov]

From: Morin, Jeff

Sent: Fri 8/14/2015 8:56:27 PM

Subject: RE: posted

You mean the GKM home page?

Not explicitly. We don't add "new" icons.

Jeff Morin Office of Web Communications US Environmental Protection Agency w 202-564-6553

From: Gray, David

Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 4:54 PM

To: Morin, Jeff

Cc: Harrison, Melissa; Purchia, Liz; Hart, Daniel; EOC Public Information

Subject: Re: posted

So it doesn't show on the main /Goldingmine page?

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 14, 2015, at 2:52 PM, Morin, Jeff < Morin.Jeff@epa.gov > wrote:

Sure is - links are below to where I posted the "yesterday" data, and the new sediment data.

Jeff Morin Office of Web Communications US Environmental Protection Agency w 202-564-6553

From: Morin, Jeff

Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 4:11 PM **To:** Harrison, Melissa; Purchia, Liz

Cc: Smith, Paula; EOC Public Information; Hart, Daniel; Davis, Tim

Subject: posted

Sediment data posted on page

http://www2.epa.gov/goldkingmine/gold-king-mine-data-august-14-2015

Second spreadsheet for yesterday added to page

http://www2.epa.gov/goldkingmine/gold-king-mine-data-august-13-2015

Jeff Morin
Office of Web Communications
US Environmental Protection Agency
w 202-564-6553

From: Harrison, Melissa

Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 3:30 PM

To: Purchia, Liz; Morin, Jeff

Cc: Smith, Paula

Subject: Re: R8 sediment data and message for website

No need. It's already approved language and data.

From: Purchia, Liz

Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 3:26 PM **To:** Harrison, Melissa; Morin, Jeff

Cc: Smith, Paula

Subject: Re: R8 sediment data and message for website

I will send to EOC

From: Harrison, Melissa

Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 3:25 PM

To: Morin, Jeff; Purchia, Liz

Cc: Smith, Paula

Subject: R8 sediment data and message for website

This is ready to go. Thanks!

The EPA sediment samples collected in the Animas River from Bakers Bridge to north of Durango have been validated. EPA has done a review of the data which included a comparison to background to determine if the metal concentrations are consistent with preincident levels. Metal results that exceeded pre-incident levels were subsequently compared to risk-based screening levels. These results indicate that minor exceedances of background concentrations were observed for antimony, lead, silver, thallium. However, comparison to risk-based screening values found these exceedances to below risk screening levels. The review and interpretation of these data was a collaborative effort that included state and local members of the unified command.